GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY

JANUARY 9, 2003

+ + + + +

The Public Hearing convened in Room 220 South, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001, pursuant to notice, at 6:30 p.m., Carol J. Mitten, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

CAROL J. MITTEN, Chairperson ANTHONY J. HOOD, Vice Chairperson PETER G. MAY, Commissioner

ZONING COMMISSION STAFF PRESENT:

ALBERTO BASTIDA, Secretary SHARON SANCHEZ, Office of Zoning

OTHER AGENCY STAFF PRESENT:

Steven Cochran, Office of Planning

D.C. OFFICE OF CORPORATION COUNSEL:

Corey Buffo, Esq.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

I N D E X

Preliminary Matters
Presentation of Applicant's Case
Report of Office of Planning 10

NEAL R. GROSS

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2.0

6:34 p.m.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: On the record. Good evening ladies and gentlemen. This is a public hearing of the Zoning Commission of the District of Columbia for Thursday, January 9, 2003. My name is Carol Mitten. Joining me this evening are Vice Chairman Anthony Hood and Commissioner Peter May.

Notice of today's hearing was published in the DC Register on November 29, 2002. This hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR Section 3022 which are the procedures for contested cases. The subject of this hearing is Zoning Commission Case Number 02-43.

This application is a request by Medstar Health Incorporated, The Washington Hospital Center which is the owner of the property at 110 Irving Street, N.W. for second stage approval of a planned unit development under Chapter 24 of the District of Columbia Zoning Regulations 11 DCMR. Copies of today's hearing announcement are available to you and are located on the table near the door.

The order of procedure will be as follows. Preliminary matters followed by the

presentation of the Applicant's case, report by the Office of Planning, reports of other agencies, report of the affected ANC, in this case it is ANC-5C, parties and persons in support, parties and persons in opposition, and rebuttal by the Applicant.

The following time constraints will be maintained in this hearing. The Applicant will have up to one hour. Parties will have 15 minutes. Organizations will have five minutes. Individuals will have three minutes. The Commission intends to maintain these time limits as strictly as possible in order to hear the case in a reasonable period of time. The Commission reserves the right to change the time limits for presentations if necessary and notes that no time shall be seated.

Parties may at any time object to any question posed to a witness by another party or to evidence sought to be introduced into the record if it is irrelevant, immaterial or unduly repetitious. The Commissioners as well may request that such testimony or evidence not be received or that such evidence be struck from the record.

All persons appearing before the Commission are to fill out two witness cards. These

cards are located on the table by the door. Upon coming forward to speak to the Commission, please give both cards to the Reporter who is sitting to our right. All individuals or organizations that would like to testify please sign the witness list located on the table at the entrance.

The decision of the Commission in this case must be based exclusively on the public record.

To avoid any appearance to the contrary, the Commission requests that persons present not engage the members of the Commission in conversation during a recess or at any other time. Staff will be available to discuss procedural questions throughout the hearing.

Please turn off all beepers and cell phones at this time so as not to disrupt these proceedings. At this time the Commission will consider any preliminary matters. Mr. Bastida, any preliminary matters.

SECRETARY BASTIDA: Yes. The Staff has two preliminary matters. Both are related to a waiver of one is admitting the Office of Planning report into the record because it was filed only last Friday. The other one is we have just received the

1	Department of Transportation of District of Columbia
2	report. We would like to request a waiver of the
3	Commission's rules to admit it into the record. The
4	report says DDOT has no objection to the information
5	provided to the Applicant
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: That's fine. Let me
7	see if there is any objection to waiving it in first
8	before you read it.
9	SECRETARY BASTIDA: I'm sorry. Right.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Any objection to
11	waiving the late filing of the Office of Planning
12	report or the Department of Transportation report?
13	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: No objection.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. Without
15	objection, and I believe you have circulated copies
16	so I don't know that you need to read it aloud.
17	SECRETARY BASTIDA: Well, just for the
18	public.
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I think we can
20	satisfy ourselves. If the public would like a copy,
21	they could approach.
22	SECRETARY BASTIDA: Okay. Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. Anything
24	else?

1	SECRETARY BASTIDA: That's all the Staff
2	has. Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. All
4	individuals wishing to testify, would you please rise
5	to take the oath? Ms. Sanchez, go ahead.
6	MS. SANCHEZ: Please raise your right
7	hand.
8	WHEREUPON,
9	MYLES LASH and GERALD OUDENS
10	were called as witnesses and, having been first duly
11	sworn, were examined and testified as follows:
12	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Moore, whenever
13	you are ready.
14	MR. MOORE: Good evening, Chairman Mitten
15	and Members of the Commission. I'm Jerry Moore, the
16	Venable law firm, the Counsel to the Applicant in
17	this proceeding. With me is Myles Lash, the
18	President for the Washington Hospital Center and
19	Gerald Oudens who is an architectural consultant to
20	Medstar.
21	Both have prepared detailed testimony and
22	presentation for the Commission for this evening, but
23	both will choose to submit that testimony for the
24	record unless the Commission directs otherwise. They

do so because in the record there are documents and support the first testimony necessary to approval including numerous statements of support from the surrounding property owners, ANC-4D, ANC-5C, and community residents, because Order Number 694 approving the first stage PUD is in the record, that a second stage submission that is indexed to direct the reader to the sections that demonstrate compliance with Section 2406.12 of the Zoning Regulations, and compliance with Order Number 694 is in the record.

Also in the record is а statement discussing how and where the documents submitted in the record support the second stage approval. is a letter in the record from ANC-4D in support of second stage approval. There's a letter from the Office of Planning supporting the second stage There's a memorandum from the Department approval. of Transportation that raises no objection to second Also there is no opposition to the stage approval. second stage approval from any party or person.

The Applicant states for the record that it has no objections to the conditions set forth in the first stage approval order. If there are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	questions, the Applicant is prepared to respond. If
2	not, the Applicant believes that the record is
3	complete and unopposed and respectfully urges the
4	Commission to approve the application for second
5	stage approval at its very first convenience,
6	hopefully this evening. Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. What was
8	it that you had that you were going to submit that
9	the individuals Mr
10	MR. MOORE: Mr. Lash has written
11	testimony that he was going to give. We are content
12	to submit it to the record. Mr. Oudens, the
13	architectural consultant and expert, has a Powerpoint
14	presentation that he can submit the slides to the
15	record unless the Commission directs otherwise.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. Well, I
17	guess logistically if we accept those things in I
18	presume you would want us to read them and digest
19	them before we would render a decision. Or do you
20	consider them somewhat duplicative?
21	MR. MOORE: I consider them supplementary
22	to the documents that are already in the record.
23	This case is very old.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right.

1	MR. MOORE: I don't think you will see
2	anything that is terribly new.
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Are we
4	satisfied then? Does anyone want a presentation by
5	the Applicant or are we satisfied standing on the
6	record?
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think standing
8	on the record, Madam Chair, would be fine with me.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. Then
10	I'll just ask are there any questions that you would
11	like to put to the Applicant?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: This is going
14	quickly. Okay. Then we'll see how much time Mr.
15	Cochran needs to make his presentation because we are
16	ready for the report by the Office of Planning.
17	MR. COCHRAN: For the record, my name is
18	Steven Cochran with the Office of Planning, Madam
19	Chair. The Office of Planning is pleased to stand on
20	the record which has been established since the time
21	the Chair was in grade school.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Well, that was a
23	long time ago. I don't think we've been dealing with
24	this quite that long. That's fine. Thank you. Any

questions for Mr. Cochran on the Office of Planning 1 2 report? 3 (No response.) Did 4 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: No questions. 5 you have any questions? 6 MR. MOORE: No. 7 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: As Mr. Bastida 8 noted, we have a submission from the Department of 9 Transportation. We don't have a recent submission 10 from ANC-5C on the second stage PUD. Did you have 11 anything on the second stage, Mr. Moore. 12 I can state for the record MR. MOORE: 13 did attend the ANC-5C meeting in early 14 November of last year. At that time, the commission 15 did in fact vote to send a letter stating their 16 support for this second stage approval of 17 application subject to the hospital sending over two documents to them. Those two documents were sent. I 18 19 cannot tell you why you do not have a letter in the record from them. 2.0 21 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Well, it's 22 good to know that they are in support, but without 23 the letter they won't be accorded great weight. Then

are there any persons who would like to testify in

1	support here tonight?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Any persons who
4	would like to testify in opposition?
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All right. Any last
7	thoughts, Mr. Moore.
8	MR. MOORE: We're hopeful that this case
9	could be ended this evening. If it were to end this
10	evening with a preliminary decision by the
11	Commission, we were in a position to send the
12	proposed order to NCPC. The Commission would be in
13	the position to finally rule on this at its February
14	meeting I believe. Mr. Bastida can correct me if I
15	am wrong. We do have covenants that are already
16	drawn up. I think the record is quite strong of the
17	need for the Hospital Center to move forward at the
18	earliest possible date.
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Thank you.
20	Mr. Bastida, what is the timing like to get this on
21	for our February agenda if we proceed now.
22	SECRETARY BASTIDA: It's possible.
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
24	SECRETARY BASTIDA: As long as I receive

1	that order tomorrow almost. Well, I had to make the
2	referral to NCPC by last Monday. So I can ask for a
3	grace period, but I need it maybe not tomorrow but
4	Monday morning.
5	MR. MOORE: Monday morning.
6	SECRETARY BASTIDA: Okay. That's 12
7	noon.
8	(Laughter.)
9	MR. MOORE: That's Eastern Standard Time.
10	SECRETARY BASTIDA: Okay. Thank you.
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Madam Chair, if I
12	could. I would like to make a motion that we approve
13	the second stage PUD of Zoning Commission case number
14	02-43.
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Second? Is there
16	anything you would like to say in support of your
17	motion, Mr. Hood.
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I think that the
19	record has been clear on my position. I don't want
20	to rehash any old conversations. I think the
21	transcript and the record is complete. I think that
22	they have done the wishes of this Commission and what
23	we have asked for. I don't want to go there. But
24	anyway I will just stand on that. Thank you.

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. I agree.
2	We've asked you to conform to the PUD for certain
3	reasons. We're to the point where we want it to be
4	when we started. Now that we are here, we need not
5	belabor the process any further. Anything?
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Let me just say
7	this, Madam Chair. I don't think I was actually the
8	person who should have spoken on that because of the
9	issues that happened earlier. I think it came better
10	coming from you.
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Any further
14	discussion?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: All those in favor
17	please say aye.
18	(Chorus of ayes.)
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Those opposed please
20	say no.
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Ms. Sanchez, would
23	you record the vote?
24	MS. SANCHEZ: Yes. Staff would record

1	the vote three to zero to two. Commissioner Hood
2	moving, Commissioner Mitten seconding, Commissioner
3	May in favor of approving Case Number 02-43 for
4	proposed action. Commissioners Parsons and Hannaham
5	not present, not voting.
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. And Mr.
7	Moore is going to run off now and work on that order.
8	MR. MOORE: Absolutely. Thank you so
8 9	MR. MOORE: Absolutely. Thank you so much to the Commission. It's been a long process,
9	much to the Commission. It's been a long process,
9	much to the Commission. It's been a long process, but thank you.
9 10 11	much to the Commission. It's been a long process, but thank you. CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I now declare this